Approved For Release 2007/02/07: CIA-RDP58-00453R000300080091-5

RCHA

OGC HAS REVIEWED.

APR 14 1954

	FCE	Chief		25X1A	4			
		25X1A					4	
ATSITUGE	*		* *					
	2	Attorioof	77000000	Ceble	re	兴	Trave!	

- 1. This office is unable to consur in the attached proposed cable for the reasons hereinefter set forth.
 - 2. Section 1241 of Title 46, USCA, provident

 "Officers and explores of Government required to travel
 on American phine

"any efficient or exployee of the United States traveling on Official business Oversons or to or free may of the possessions of the United States shall travel and transport his personal effects on chips registered under the laws of the United States where such ships are available valous the necessity of his mission requires the use of a ship under a foreign flags Provided, Test the Comptroller General of the United States shall not credit any allowance for travel or shipping expenses incurred on a foreign ship in the obserce of estimicatory proof of the necessity therefor." June 29, 1936, a. 650, Table IS, 6 901, 49 Stat. 2015.

	1	The	action	tono	DE.	thi.	stetutory	r rule	his	SOUTH	adopted	b)
the	Appen	y at	nd in	reat	sted	212	peracrapic	8 (1)			edi.	ch
pro	rider:	Į.										

25X1A

The Except when bondquarters may opecifically except a station from these requirements, arrangements for shipboard travel and transportation of effects shall be made in accordance with the following:

"Any employee or dependent traveling on official business overgess or to or from any of the possessions of the United States shall travel and transport his personal effects on ships registered under the laws of the United States where such ships are available, unless the necessity of his mission requires the use of a ship under a foreign flug, except where satisfactory proof is submitted as to the recessity for the use of a foreign ship."

t. Recause the emmetation of the rule in paragraph 8 (b) to so closely aligned with the stetutory language, the regulation munt

necessarily to construed with reference to the law. Consededly, a greater variety of pituations may be contemplated in the operations of this Agency where the mission of the traveler would necessitate use of a foreign vessel than is nereally the case in Government. We do not believe, however, that is any case should necessity be grounded upon reasons of personal convenience.

5. The table of reinburgements for travel by Covernmental employment is the expense of travel by the most denally travelled direct route. However, the requirement of travel on veneris of U. S. registry has been construed to take precedence over the rule requiring use of the most direct route symilable where the additional cost and resulting delay are not excessive. In a decision of the Comptroller General dated Fobjury 1, 1952, respected in 31 Comp. Con. 351, the Secretary of State had inquired:

"Fending clarification from the Comptroller Governi, the Department has instructed the Office of the United States Sigh Commissioner for Germany to rests all travel to the United States via the port of Bremerhaves on the America. Estates and June 30, 1952, several hundred Birog employees will be berainsted, and if sailings are limited to the America individuals must be beld in Germany beyond the dates it is desired to expense them at exceptive salary cont, which the Department's Dudget will not stand. May the Reportment inform Birog that when accommodations are not available un the America, or when such sailing will unduly delay department, they are subhanised to route caplages and their dependents to an Ibalian port where they can continue their Journey to the United States via an American Expert Line vessel, or they may be routed via direct foreign vessels?"

In reply, the Comptroller General stated:

"If necessodations absent the Q. S. ANTICE are not in fact evaluable at the part of Breschaven, employees and their dependents should be routed by rail to Italian ports and thence by American vessels to the United States, it being understood that the additional cost and delay of such routing is not excensive."

6. Where, as is the instant case, there is available transportation on the most direct or nearly direct route on a vessel of U. S. registry, we do not believe that Mesdquarters sutherization of indirect travel, solely for the convenience of the employee, by a route not serviced by an American sea carrier will excuse the comployee from the requirement of travel on an American ship. Without descriptions of the necessity for indirect travel to accomplish a single for the Agency, behould, accordingly, not be allowed as an expense of travel spained the commuted cost by the most direct route any expense of son travel via a French ship from Hong Kong to Marseilles.

25X1A

7. It may be noted, however, that the statutory requirement that deverment employees travel on vessels of U. S. registry does not extend to air carriers under the same flag. Accordingly, if indirect travel is exchanged, on employee may be permitted the expense of consecting air travel by foreign carrier to the port where yessels for the remainder of the distance may be secured on a vessel of U. S. registry.

¹25X1A

25X1A

8. We are conserved that the present language of the proposed cable may lead ______ to the improper conclusion that approved of indirect sea travel simultaneously authorizes reinbursement for the expense of travel on a French ship for a portion of the reste upon his demonstration as a formality of the accessity of such travel by a charing that no U. S. wessel services the two ports in question.

25X1A	
LANGETHIE P.	LOUESTA
Cenoral (omasi.

OGC/GHK!hm (9 Avell 1974)

Distributions

orig. & 1 - addressee

1 .

1 - Pinance Div.

1 - Audit

1 - CCC, 317 South

1 - OHK Chrono

1 - Cov. perg. problems

1 - Chrono

CLUBEL